27

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	ı.	Jurisdiction
3	II.	Statement of Purpose
4	III.	Findings of Fact
5	IV.	Conclusions of Law
6	v.	Determinations
7	VI.	Work to be Performed
8	VII.	Designated Project Coordinators
9	viii.	Site Access
10	IX.	Sampling, Access, and Data Availability 36
11	x.	Record Preservation
12	xI.	Other Claims
13	XII.	Other Applicable Laws
14	xIII.	Government Not Liable
15	xiv.	Community Relations/Public Comment
16	xv.	Parties Bound
17	xvi.	Endangerment During Implementation 40
18	xvII.	Noncompliance
19	xvIII.	Opportunity to Confer
20	xix.	Notice of Intent to Comply
21	xx.	Notice to the State
22	XXI.	Severability
23	xxII.	Termination and Satisfaction 42
24	xxIII.	Effective Date and Subsequent Modification 42
25		
26	·	
27		

#### I. JURISDICTION

This Order is issued pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986) ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq. The President delegated this authority to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Agency") by Executive Orders 12316, 46 Fed. Reg. 42237, and 12580, 52 Fed. Reg. 2923. This authority has been further delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response and the Regional Administrators. This authority has been redelegated to the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA, Region 9.

#### II. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This Order requires Respondent Apache Powder Company
("Apache" or "Respondent") to perform the following tasks:

A. To conduct the Remedial Investigation ("RI") described in the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Work Plan ("RI/FS Work Plan"), a copy of which is attached as Attachment A and by this reference made a part of this Order, in order to determine fully the nature and extent of contamination and the potential for harm to the public health or welfare or the environment caused by the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the Apache facility (the "Site"), as defined in Section III(A) below. The RI/FS Work Plan specifies work to be performed during the RI, including, among other things, exploratory borehole drilling,

monitoring well placement, aquifer testing, groundwater sampling, surface impoundment sampling, surface water sampling, soil boring drilling and sampling, and treatability study testing. It also includes a list of reports, documents, and other deliverables that Apache will provide for EPA review, comment and approval;

- B. To conduct the Feasibility Study ("FS") described in the RI/FS Work Plan which shall evaluate remedial action alternatives to prevent and eliminate the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the Site;
- C. To provide a long-term alternate domestic water supply, including drinking water, for those residents with domestic wells that are contaminated with Hazardous Substances, Pollutants or Contaminants at levels exceeding either Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL's) established pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water Act or State Action Levels; and
- D. To undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Order in accordance with CERCLA and the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 C.F.R. Part 300, et seq., as amended.

#### III. FINDINGS OF FACT

A. Apache owns and operates an explosives and fertilizer manufacturing plant located about one mile southwest of the town of St. David, Arizona, in Cochise County. The Apache Site is located in portions of Sections 6, 7, and 8 of Township 18 South, Range 21 East, and portions of Section 12 of Township 18 South, Range 21 East, of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian. The total Site area is approximately 945 acres.

- B. Apache acquired the land comprising the Site in 18 conveyances which took place between 1921 and 1986.
- C. On June 10, 1986, the Site was proposed for inclusion on the Environmental Protection Agency's National Priorities

  List (NPL) as defined in Section 105 of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. § 9605).
- D. On April 11, 1988, EPA sent Apache a "Special Notice Letter," pursuant to Section 122(e) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. § 9622(e)), providing Apache the opportunity to submit a good faith proposal to conduct and finance the RI/FS. Apache refused to submit such a proposal. EPA provided Apache numerous additional opportunities to conduct the RI/FS on a consensual basis. Apache has rejected each of these opportunities.
- E. Apache has been in operation at the Site from 1922 to the present. Historically, operations at the Site have included the manufacture of ammonia, sulfuric acid, nitroglycerin-based explosives, and water-gel high explosives. Apache currently engages in three primary industrial operations, including the production of nitric acid, ammonium nitrate, and Carbamite blasting agent. Some of the nitric acid produced at the Site is sold commercially by Apache, although most is diverted to the plant neutralization facility to produce ammonium nitrate liquor. Ammonium nitrate is processed in a prill and graining plant and either sold as fertilizer, or blended with diesel fuel and packaged as a blasting agent. Apache also produces detonating cord and safety fuses and operates a plant powerhouse.
- F. Apache generates solid waste which is disposed of at the Site. These solid wastes, as defined by their physical consis-

tency, include explosive materials, which are burned on pads in the on-site burn area, as well as other wastes, which are disposed in an on-site landfill. Ash residue from the burn area is stored in an ash pile adjacent to the burn area.

- G. Apache also generates liquid industrial waste. Historically, liquid waste generated by Apache has included condensate from nitric acid neutralization (1979-1989), process blowdown from the ammonia plant (1958-1979), washdown water from the prill plant (1963-at least 1986), nitration and nitroglycerin handling contact waters (1922-1983), as well as non-contact cooling waters from the sulfuric acid plant (1946-1965), the acid mixing operations (1922-1983), the ammonium nitrate crystallization (1922-1983), and the ammonia plant (1958-1979). Liquid waste currently generated includes fuse production wastewaters, cooling tower blowdown, process contact water, equipment washdown, and water softening plant wastewater, as well as non-contact cooling water from the nitric acid oxidation process and from the fuse production area.
- H. From 1922 to 1971, liquid industrial wastes generated at the Site were discharged to washes which drain the Site and are tributary to the San Pedro River, which is adjacent to the Site. Since 1971, wastewaters have primarily been contained in on-site unlined surface impoundments. There are 16 surface impoundments on-site, at least four of which are currently in use (ponds 1A, 2A, 3A, and 3B) to contain discharged wastewaters. Other on-site waste management units include the burn area, ash area, landfill, and drum storage area.
  - I. Since 1980, soil, sludge, and water samples have been

\_\_\_

collected at and in the vicinity of the Site.

- 1. In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence of nitrates and heavy metals on Site at the following concentrations: 50 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen, 30 mg/l arsenic, 20 mg/l cadmium, 8 mg/l chromium, 60 mg/l lead, and 1.9 mg/l zinc.
- 2. In July 1982, representatives from the Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization (SEAGO) and the Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) collected soil and water samples at the Site. In Pond 7 water, 13,490 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen was detected. In Pond 1A soils, 9,780 mg/kg nitrate-nitrogen was detected. In the burn area, 19,560 mg/kg nitrate-nitrogen was detected.
- 3. In March, June, July, and December 1984, SEAGO sampled off-site water supply wells. A sample collected from a domestic well owned by the Carnes family ("Carnes well") exhibited a nitrate-nitrogen level of 470 mg/l. Other off-site wells exhibited nitrate-nitrogen levels of up to 39 mg/l.
- 4. In 1985, Apache sampled the water in several on-site ponds, and analyses of these samples indicated 4,450 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen in the Dynagel pond, 5,650 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen in Pond 7, and 8,990 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen in Pond 6B.
- 5. In November 1985, the Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) conducted an inspection of the Site pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Analytical results of a composite sample collected from the ash area indicated an EP Toxicity lead concentration of 7.9 mg/l in the extract

obtained from the sample.

- 6. Since July 1986, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), formerly ADHS, has collected surface water samples from the San Pedro River upstream, downstream, and adjacent to the Site. Samples collected from December 1986 through May 1987 from a sampling location located 0.5 miles south of the Highway 80 bridge, which is downstream from the Site, indicated the following nitrate-nitrogen concentrations: December 1986--720 mg/l, January 1987--591 mg/l, February 1987--556 mg/l, March 1987--516 mg/l, April 1987--736 mg/l, May 1987--589 mg/l. Samples collected during those times from a sampling point located 1.2 miles south of the Highway 80 bridge and adjacent to the Site indicated an average nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 2.81 mg/l.
- 7. In December 1986, ADHS collected groundwater samples from off-site wells near the Site. In the Carnes well, a nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 335 mg/l was detected.
- 8. From August 1987 to November 1987, EPA conducted a Preliminary Investigation (PI) at and in the vicinity of the Site. On-site, nitrate-nitrogen was detected at concentrations of up to 3420 mg/kg in soils, up to 3465 mg/kg in pond sludge, and up to 471 mg/l in pond water. Chromium was detected at up to 400 mg/kg in soils and up to 810 mg/kg in pond sludge. Lead was detected at concentrations of up to 1340 mg/kg in soils, 150 mg/kg in pond sludge, and 53 mg/l in pond water. Zinc was detected at concentrations of up to 16,000 mg/kg in soils, 41,600 mg/kg in pond sludge, and 610 mg/l in pond water. In off-site groundwater, nitrate-nitrogen was detected at levels up to 360 mg/l in the

Carnes well. A groundwater sample collected upgradient of the Site exhibited 0.82 mg/l of nitrate-nitrogen. In off-site surface water samples collected from San Pedro River locations downstream from Apache, nitrate-nitrogen was detected at levels up to 1099 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen. In comparison, the surface water samples collected in the San Pedro River upstream from Apache exhibited nitrate-nitrogen concentrations of only 0.08 mg/l and 0.14 mg/l.

- 9. In June, 1987, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry determined that potable water from private wells in the area was contaminated with nitrates and that it represented an imminent and substantial health concern, especially to infants.
- j. The health effects of arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, nitrate, and zinc are described below:
- Arsenic poisoning may result in irritation of the stomach and intestines, including nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea.
   Liver damage and skin abnormalities may also occur as a result of exposure to Arsenic;
- 2. Ingestion of cadmium results in a gastrointestinal type of poisoning, as well as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. Inhalation of dust containing cadmium may cause dryness of the throat, coughs, headaches, and vomiting;
- 3. Exposure to chromium compounds may result in irritation to the skin and respiratory passages and may lead to ulceration.

  Ingestion may lead to severe irritation of the gastrointestinal tract, circulatory shock, and renal damage;
- 4. Inhalation and ingestion of lead may cause anemia; Lead poisoning may also result in diarrhea, nausea, vomiting,

- 5. The toxicity of nitrate in humans is due to the reduction of nitrate to nitrite by bacteria. Methemoglobinemia occurs when nitrite reacts with hemoglobin to form methoglobin, which will not transport oxygen to the tissues, and can thus lead to asphyxia. Infants, small children, and pregnant women are most susceptible to methemoglobinemia; and
- 6. Inhalation of zinc fumes may result in weakness, chills, fever, nausea, and vomiting.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The Site is a "facility" as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).
- B. Apache is a "person" as defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21).
- C. The chemicals and their constituents at the Site are "hazardous substances," "pollutants," or "contaminants," as defined in Sections 101(14) and (33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601(14) and (33).
- D. The past, present, and potential migration of contaminants from the Site constitutes an actual or threatened "release" as defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22).
- E. Apache is a "responsible party" pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

#### V. <u>DETERMINATIONS</u>

Based on the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law set out

- A. The release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Site may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.
- B. In order to properly ascertain the nature and extent of the endangerment posed by the release or threatened release, and to select a remedy which mitigates the release or threatened release, a remedial investigation and feasibility study must be completed for the Site.
- C. The actions required by this Order are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment, and, if properly performed, are consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300.

#### VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

#### A. General Provisions

1. All response work performed pursuant to this Order shall be under the direction and supervision of a qualified professional engineer or a certified geologist with expertise in hazardous waste site investigation. Prior to initiation of Site work, Apache shall notify EPA in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of such engineer or geologist and of any contractors and/or subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Order. The qualifications of the persons undertaking the work for Apache shall be subject to EPA's review, for verification that such persons meet the minimum technical background and experience required for the work to be performed under this Order.

If EPA disapproves in writing of the technical qualifications of any person(s), Apache shall notify EPA within 7 days of the written notice of the identity and qualifications of the replacement(s). If EPA subsequently disapproves of the replacement(s), Apache shall submit to EPA a list containing the names and qualifications of at least three (3) proposed replacement(s) within 7 days of written notice of EPA's disapproval. EPA may then select the replacement(s) from this list or may, as is provided for in CERCLA and the NCP, conduct a complete RI/FS and seek reimbursement for costs from Apache.

- 2. Apache shall perform the tasks and submit reports contained in the RI/FS Work Plan (Attachment A).
- 3. All such work shall be conducted in accordance with Attachment A, CERCLA, the NCP and current EPA Guidance, as amended.
- 4. EPA will perform the Risk Assessment portion of the FS pursuant to EPA Guidance.
- 5. Deliverables to be submitted by Apache are listed below. This list includes the type of review that EPA will conduct (either "Review and Comment" or "Review and Approve"). The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) and the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) will have the opportunity to provide comments to EPA on deliverables. Each deliverable should include the items described in the RI/FS Work Plan. These specifics are meant as a framework for each deliverable's content. All draft deliverables must contain information sufficient to allow for EPA's detailed technical review and comment. Open discussions between Apache and EPA will be necessary to assure that

- 6. Any reports, plans, specifications, schedules, and attachments required by this Order are, upon approval by EPA, incorporated into this Order.
- 7. For the purposes of this Order, "day" means calendar day unless otherwise specified in this Order.

#### B. <u>Deliverable</u>

During the course of the RI/FS, Apache shall submit the following deliverables to EPA as set out in the RI/FS Work Plan schedule in Section VI.C of this Order. The task and subtask numbers of the deliverables refer to the task and subtask numbers of the RI/FS workplan.

#### 1. Source Control Plan

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit a Source Control Plan for EPA's approval. The Source Control Plan shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

- a. A proposal for diverting all currently generated wastewater to impermeable holding areas or to a wastewater treatment facility;
- b. A proposal for the containment, removal, and/or treatment of contaminated water and sludge in the ponds currently in use;
  - c. An identification of the treatment levels to be

achieved;

-5

d. A proposal for temporary grading, capping, diversion, or other surface controls for Pond 7 and the Dynagel Pond, in order to prevent surface water from collecting in or transporting contaminants off these areas; and

e. A proposal for containment, removal, and/or treatment of the ash pile in order to prevent future contamination, run-off, or infiltration from the ash pile.

### 2. Alternate Domestic Water Plan

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit an Alternate Domestic Water Supply Plan for EPA's approval. The Long Term Alternate Domestic Water Supply Plan shall evaluate, at a minimum, the use of Reverse Osmosis units for contaminated domestic wells exceeding EPA's maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL's or State Action Levels), the feasibility of completion of new wells into the deep aquifer, and the feasibility of constructing a central supply system which draws water from the deep aquifer. This evaluation shall include, at a minimum, the elements listed below:

- a. A search and comparison of existing technologies for the treatment of nitrate contaminated water;
- b. An effectiveness comparison of available existing technologies for the treatment of nitrate contaminated water;
- c. A technical comparison of existing types of Reverse Osmosis Units:

- d. The effects of chlorine on Reverse Osmosis Units;
- e. The factors which affect the maintenance frequency for Reverse Osmosis Units and the maintenance frequency with respect to the total dissolved solids content of the water:
- f. The need to supplement Reverse Osmosis Units with Ultra Violet treatment units to eliminate bacteria;
- g. An evaluation of a central supply water system that would draw from the deep aquifer and distribute drinking water to residents from a central location or locations;
- h. The development of alternative systems using a combination of deep wells, reverse osmosis, central supply system and additional options that may be determined; and
- i. Rationale for the recommended alternate domestic water supply option (including cost comparisons of technologies), and a schedule for implementation of the option selected by EPA.

#### 3. Health and Safety Plan

EPA Review and Comment

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's review, a Health and Safety Plan. The Health and Safety Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the provisions of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1910 and with the NIOSH/OSHA/USCG/USEPA Occupational Safety and Health Guidance Manual for Hazardous Waste Site Activities (October 1985), a copy of which has been provided to Apache by EPA. It is Apache's responsibility to comply with all applicable health and safety requirements at federal, state and local levels.

2-

··-6

#### 4. Quality Assurance Project Plan ("QAPP")

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, a QAPP. The QAPP shall be prepared in accordance with the "Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," EPA, December 1980 ("QAPP Guidance"), a copy of which will be provided to Apache by EPA if Apache so requests. The QAPP shall contain, at a minimum, the following sixteen items, which are described in Chapter 5 of the QAPP Guidance:

- a. Title Page with Provision for Approval Signatures;
- b. Table of Contents;
- c. Project Description;
- d. Project Organization and Responsibility;
- e. Quality Assurance Objectives for Measurement of Data in terms of Precision, Accuracy, Completeness, Representativeness, and Comparability;
  - f. Sampling Procedures;
  - g. Sample Custody Procedures;
  - h. Calibration Procedures and Frequency;
  - i. Analytical Procedures;
  - j. Data Reduction, Validation, and Reporting;
  - k. Internal Quality Control Checks and Frequency;
  - 1. Performance and System Audits and Frequency;
  - m. Preventative Maintenance Procedures and Schedules;
- n. Statistical Assessments of Data Quality, including Specific Routine Procedures Used to Assess Precision, Accuracy,

and	Comp	ole	te	ne	SS	;
-----	------	-----	----	----	----	---

- o. Corrective Action; and
- p. Quality Assurance Reports to Management.

#### 5. Field Sampling Plans

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval the following five deliverables, the Field Sampling Plans. The Field Sampling Plans shall be prepared in accordance with "Preparation of a PRP Sample Plan for EPA Region 9," EPA, October 1987 ("Sample Plan Guidance"). As specified in the Sample Plan Guidance, each Field Sample Plan shall contain, at a minimum, the elements listed below:

- a. Objective of sampling effort;
- b. Background information which has a bearing on the sampling effort;
  - c. Maps indicating sampling locations;
- d. Rationale for sampling locations and numbers of samples;
- e. Request for analysis, in tabular and narrative
  form;
- f. Field methods and procedures, for the following sampling tasks:
  - Sample collection
  - Disposal of contaminated materials
  - Equipment decontamination
  - Sample preservation

_	Sample Shipment
2	- Sample documentation
3	- Quality assurance; and
4	g. Identification of sample containers to be used for
5	all sample media and analytical parameters.
6	The Field Sampling Plans to be submitted and the
7	specific field tasks to be included in each Field Sampling
8	Plan are described in paragraphs VI.B.5(a) through VI.B.5(e),
9	below:
10	5(a). Waste Field Sampling Plan
11	Draft: EPA Review and Comment
12	Final: EPA Review and Approve
13	5(b). Pond Sediment Field Sampling Plan
14	Draft: EPA Review and Comment
15	Final: EPA Review and Approve
16	5(c). Surface Water (San Pedro River) Field Sampling Plan
17	Draft: EPA Review and Comment
18	Final: EPA Review and Approve
19	5(d). Ground Water Field Sampling Plan
20	Draft: EPA Review and Comment
21	Final: EPA Review and Approve
22	The Groundwater Field Sampling Plan shall include the
23	following items, in addition to the elements listed in paragraph
24	VI.B.5:
25	a. Stratigraphic borehole specifications, drilling log
26	procedures, borehole geophysical log procedures, and procedures
ì	

for abandonment of boreholes;

- b. Surface geophysical survey procedures;
- c. Monitoring well installation procedures, construction specifications, drilling log procedures, well development procedures, and proper disposal methods of well development water and purge water;
  - d. Wellhead survey and water level survey procedures;
  - e. Long-term and short-term aquifer testing procedures;
- f. Field methods and procedures for groundwater quality sampling;
  - 5(e). Soils Field Sampling Plan (Surface and Subsurface Soils)

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

The Surface Soils Sampling Plan and the Subsurface Soils Sampling Plan shall include the following items, in addition to the elements listed in paragraph VI.B.6:

- a. Soil boring drilling and logging procedures;
- b. Procedures for collection of subsurface soil samples for chemical analysis;
- c. Procedures for collection of surface soil samples for chemical analysis; and
  - d. Ring infiltration testing procedures.
  - 6. Study Area Survey Report

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, a Study Area Survey Report. The Study Area Survey Report shall

contain, at a minimum, the following items: 1 2 a. Results of all information collected pursuant to 3 RI/FS Workplan Subtask 4.3.3, Private Well Survey; and b. Results of all information collected pursuant to 4 5 RI/FS Workplan Subtask 4.3.4, Land Use Survey, including: 6 - Receptor Identification 7 - Wildlife and endangered species information - Source and use of well water in the study area. 8 9 7. Treatability Study Workplan 10 Draft: EPA Review and Comment 11 Final: EPA Review and Approve 12 Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, a 13 Treatability Study Workplan. The Treatability Study Workplan 14 will be prepared in accordance with the most recent version of 15 the RI/FS Guidance. It will contain, at a minimum, the following 16 elements: 17 a. Objectives of the study; 18 b. Literature review: 19 c. Discussion of remedial technologies considered; 20 d. Rationale for selecting the technology to be tested; 21 e. Test procedures and sampling requirements; 22 f. Analytical methods; 23 g. Data management and evaluation procedures; 24 h. Health and safety precautions; and 25 i. Management of residuals. 26 27 8. Technical Memorandum -- Soils Investigation

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

2

1

Final: EPA Review and Approve

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, a Technical Memorandum--Soils Investigation. The Technical Memorandum--Soils Investigation shall contain, at a minimum, the following items:

- a. Summary of investigative activities;
- b. Map(s) showing locations of all surface and subsurface sampling locations; and
  - c. Results of Subtask 4.3.8, and 4.3.9, including:
    - Drilling logs which describe the material encountered for all soil borings
    - Identification of all depths at which samples for chemical analyses were collected
    - Validated analytical results of the samples collected.

#### 9. Phase I Hydrogeologic Investigation Report

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, the Phase I Hydrogeologic Investigation Report. The Phase I Hydrogeologic Investigation Report shall contain the following information:

a. A description of any deviations from the Groundwater Field Sampling Plan for testing procedures pursuant to Subtask 4.3.5, including aguifer testing as opposed to slug testing pursuant to Subtask 4.3.5;

- Narrative analysis of the contaminant distribution identified during Subtask 4.3.11
- Geologic cross sections
- Geochemical facies plots
- Recommendations for locations of additional private wells to be sampled, additional monitoring wells, and additional stratigraphic boreholes.

#### 10. Technical Memorandum -- Treatability Study Testing

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, a Technical Memorandum--Treatability Study Testing. The Technical Memorandum--Treatability Study Testing shall include the following items:

- a. Discussion of the treatability study, including an explanation of 1) the actual testing procedures, 2) how the test was conducted, and 3) the results of the test;
- b. Discussion of any deviations from the Treatability Study Work Plan;
- c. All data generated during the treatability study testing;
- d. Recommendations for further surface and subsurface soil sampling; and
  - e. Recommendations for further treatability testing.

11. RI Report

Firs

First Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, an

2

Second Draft: EPA Review and Comment

3

1

Final: EPA Review and Approve

4

5 RI Report. The RI Report shall follow the suggested RI Report

6

Format provided in the most recent version of the RI/FS

7

Guidance in effect on the date the First Draft is submitted to

8

EPA. All sections of the suggested RI Report Format which

9

apply to the Site shall be included in the RI Report. The

10

general categories of information to be presented in the RI

11

Report are provided in Task 8 of the RI/FS Work Plan. These

12

categories are consistent with the suggested RI Report Format.

12. Technical Memorandum -- Development of Remedial Action

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, a

13

<u>Alternatives</u>

14 15

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

16

Final: EPA Review and Approve

17

Technical Memorandum--Development of Remedial Action Alterna-

18 19

tives. The Technical Memorandum--Development of Remedial Action

20

Alternatives will include the following items, which are

21

22

a. A brief summary of the contaminants of interest, con-

23

taminated media, and contamination pathways;

24

b. Identification of ARARs;

described in the RI/FS Work Plan:

response actions;

25

c. Development of remedial action objectives and general

26

d. Identification of potential treatment technologies;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

	e.	Ident	ifica	tion	of	contai	inment	and	disposal	require-
ments	associ	ated	with	the '	trea	atment	techno	ologi	les;	

- f. Discussion of the technology screening process; and
- g. Development of remedial alternatives.

## 13. Technical Memorandum -- Initial Screening of

#### Alternatives

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, The Technical Memorandum--Initial Screening of Alternatives which will include a discussion of the following evaluations:

- a. The effectiveness evaluation;
- b. The implementability evaluation; and
- c. The cost evaluation.

## 14. Technical Memorandum--Detailed Development of

#### Alternatives

Draft: EPA Review and Comment

Final: EPA Review and Approve

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA's approval, the Technical Memorandum--Detailed Development of Alternatives which will include further development and definition of the remedial alternatives first developed during Task 9 of the RI/FS Work Plan. Sufficient detail will be provided in order to develop cost estimates to an accuracy of -30 percent to +50 percent.

#### 15. FS Report

28

First Draft: EPA Review and Comment

2

1

Second Draft: EPA Review and Comment

3

Third Draft: EPA Review and Approve

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

Apache shall prepare and submit for EPA approval the FS Report which will include the items listed in Task 11 of the RI/FS Work Plan.

#### 16. Monthly Reports

EPA Review and Comment

Apache shall prepare and submit monthly reports. Monthly Reports will be submitted to EPA, ADEQ, and ADWR and will include the following items:

- a. A description of project activities during the reporting period, including an explanation of any delays or alterations to the approved schedule, and percent completion for each project task or phase of work;
- b. A list of reports or other deliverables submitted to EPA, ADEQ and ADWR during the reporting period;
- c. A description of anticipated project activities, including sampling and analytical work and reports and other deliverables scheduled for the next reporting period;
- d. Identification of any changes in key project personnel:
- e. A chemical laboratory status report including a list of samples submitted to chemical laboratories and those samples for which analyses have been returned; and
- f. A description of any problems or concerns which require resolution.

In addition, the following will be included in the 1 2 monthly reports on a quarterly basis only: a. Results of quarterly ground water quality monitoring 3 for the past three months; 4 b. Results of quarterly surface water quality monitoring 5 6 for the past three months; 7 c. Results of monthly water level measurements for the 8 past three months; d. Description of modifications to the ground water or 9 10 surface water monitoring networks during reporting period; and e. Additional data collected during the previous quarter 11 12 and not released in a report or memorandum. 13 C. Apache shall submit all deliverables in accordance with the schedule given below. For the purposes of this schedule, one 14 15 month shall be equivalent to 30 days. All documents submitted by Apache, either in final or draft form, which are subsequent to 16 17 EPA's comments on a prior version of the document shall incor-18 porate EPA's comments. 19 1. Source Control Plan a. Draft due, in months 20 following effective date of Order..... .......3 months 21 b. Final due, in days following receipt of EPA's comments on 22 23 Alternate Drinking Water Plan 24 a. Draft due, in months following effective date 25 of Order..... ..... months b. Final due, in days following 26 receipt of EPA's comments on Draft....... 27 Health and Safety Plan

a. Draft due, in months

	6-13 CCtime data
1	following effective date of Order
2	b. Final due, in days following
•	receipt of EPA's comments on
3	Draft
-	•
4	¥. QAPP
	a. Draft due, in months
5	following effective date of
_	Order months
6	b. Final due, in days following receipt of EPA's comments on
7	Draft15 days
•	
8	5(a). <u>Waste Field Sampling Plan</u>
	a. Draft due, in months
9	following effective date of
	Order2 months
10	b. Final due, in days following
	receipt of EPA's comments on
11	Draft15 days
12	5(b). Pond Sediment Field Sampling Plan
	a. Draft due, in months
13	following effective date of
	Order2 months
14	b. Final due, in days following
	receipt of EPA's comments on
15	Draft15 days
16	5(c). Surface Water Field Sampling Plan
	a. Draft due, in months
17	following effective date of
	Order2 months
18	b. Final due, in days following
	receipt of EPA's comments on
19	Draft15 days
20	E(d) Cround Noton Field Compline Dlan
20	5(d). Ground Water Field Sampling Plan a. Draft due, in months
21	following effective date of
	Order 2 months
22	b. Final due, in days following
	receipt of EPA's comments on
23	Draft15 days
24	5(e). Soils Field Sampling Plan (Surface and Subsurface
<u>_</u>	Soils)
25	a. Draft due, in months
26	following effective date of Order 2 months
-~	Oldel molicity
27	
	b. Final due, in days following
28	receipt of FDA's comments on

1	Dialc days
2	6. Study Area Survey Report
	a. Draft due, in months
3	following effective date of
	Order4 months
4	b. Apache shall address EPA's
	comments on the Study Area
5	Survey Report by revising
	the appropriate sections of
6	the First Draft RI Report.
-	
7	7. Treatability Study Workplan
_	a. Draft due, in months
8	following effective date of
9	Order6 months b. Final due, in days following
7	receipt of EPA's comments on
10	Draft15 days
10	blatt days
11	8. Technical MemorandumSoils Investigation
	a. Draft due, in months
12	following effective date
	of Order
13	b. Apache shall address EPA's
	comments on the Technical
14	MemorandumSoils Investigation
	Testing by revising the
15	appropriate sections of
	the First Draft RI Report.
16	
	9. Phase I Hydrogeologic Investigation Report
17	a. Draft due, in months
	following effective date of
18	Order11 months
19	h Pinal due in dans fallening
13	b. Final due, in days following receipt of EPA's comments on
20	Draft
20	Drarc days
21	10. Technical MemorandumTreatability Testing
	a. Draft due, in months
22	following effective date
	of Order
23	b. Apache shall address EPA's
	comments on the Technical
24	MemorandumTreatability
ı	Testing by revising
25	the appropriate sections of
1	the First Draft RI Report.
26	_
27	
	11. RI Report
28	a. First Draft due, in months

1	following effective date of
	Order20 months
2	<ul> <li>b. Second Draft due, in days following</li> </ul>
	receipt of EPA's comments on
3	First Draft
.	c. Final due, in days following
4	receipt of EPA's
5	comments on Second Draft30 days
3	12 Tochnical MomorandumDovolonment of Domodial.
6	12. <u>Technical MemorandumDevelopment of Remedial</u> Alternatives
Ĭ	a. Draft due, in months
7	following effective date
	of Order
8	b. Apache shall address EPA's
	comments on the Technical
9	MemorandumDevelopment of
	Alternatives by revising
10	the appropriate sections of
	the First Draft FS Report.
11	
	13. Technical MemorandumInitial Screening of
12	Alternatives
13	a. Draft due, in months
13	following effective date of Order16 months
14	b. Apache shall address EPA's
- `	comments on the Technical
15	MemorandumInitial Screening
	of Alternatives by revising
16	the appropriate sections of
l	the First Draft FS Report.
17	
	14. Technical MemorandumDetailed Development of
18	<u>Alternatives</u>
19	a. Draft due, in months
19	following effective date of Order18 months
20	b. Apache shall address EPA's
-	comments on the Technical
21	MemorandumDetailed Development
	of Alternatives by revising
22	the appropriate sections of
	the First Draft FS Report.
23	
- 1	15. FS Report
24	a. First Draft due, in months
	following effective date of
25	Order
I	b. Second Draft due, in days following
26	receipt of EPA's comments on
,, I	First Draft30 days
27	a Final due in days fallerin-
28	c. Final due, in days following receipt of EPA's
	receibe of piv a

comments on Second Draft......30 days

16. Monthly Reports

All work conducted pursuant to this Order shall be performed in a manner consistent with all applicable requirements of CERCLA and the NCP as amended, and shall be conducted in accordance with EPA RI/FS guidances ("Guidance on Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA," October, 1988) and any EPA updates or revisions to those guidances, and with the standards and specifications contained in the approved RI/FS Work Plan.

- C. EPA shall, as indicated above, review, comment upon, and approve or disapprove each report, document or other deliverable. EPA shall notify Apache in writing of EPA's approval or disapproval. In the event of any disapproval, EPA shall specify the reasons for such disapproval and either recommend modifications or, for final deliverables, EPA may elect to take over the work remaining.
- D. In the event of unanticipated or changed circumstances at the Site that have the potential to affect public health, welfare or the environment, or Apache's work at the Site, Apache shall notify EPA within 24 hours of the discovery of the unanticipated or changed circumstances.
- E. EPA may determine that additional tasks, including remedial investigatory work, engineering evaluation, interim response measures or tasks added in response to public comment

1 are necessary as part of the RI/FS. Apache shall implement any 2 additional tasks which EPA determines are necessary as part of The additional work shall be completed in accordance 3 the RI/FS. with the standards, specifications, requirements, and schedule 4 5 determined or approved by EPA. 6 F. All Documents, including progress and technical reports, approvals, disapprovals, and other correspondence to be submitted 7 pursuant to this Order, shall be sent to the following addressees 8 or to such other addressees as the parties hereafter may desig-9 nate in writing, and shall be deemed submitted on the date 10 received by EPA or Apache. 11 Documents to be submitted to EPA shall be sent as set forth 12 13 below: 14 Six copies shall be sent to: 15 Michael Wolfram Remedial Project Manager (T-4-2) 16

Michael Wolfram
Remedial Project Manager (T-4-2)
Hazardous Waste Management Division
US EPA, Region 9
215 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone Number: (415) 974-7955
or, after November 10, 1989: (415) 744-1925

Two copies shall be sent to:

EPA's consultant, to be determined

Four copies shall be sent to:

Don Atkinson Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004

One copy shall be sent to:

Timothy Allen Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 4040 East 29th Street Tucson, Arizona 85711

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

One copy shall be sent to:

Grant Gibson
Arizona Department of Water Resources
15 South 15th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

- On or before the effective date of this Order, EPA shall designate a Project Coordinator who shall have the authorities, duties, and responsibilities vested in the Remedial Project Manager by the National Contingency Plan. Apache shall also designate a Project Coordinator who shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Order. The EPA Project Coordinator will be EPA's designated representative at the Site. To the maximum extent possible, all oral communications between Apache and EPA concerning the activities performed pursuant to this Order shall be directed through the Project Coordinators. All documents, including progress and technical reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Order, shall be delivered in accordance with Section VI above.
- B. EPA and Apache may change their respective Project Coordinators. Such a change shall be accomplished by notifying the other party in writing at least one week prior to the change.
- C. Consistent with the provisions of this Order, the EPA
  Project Coordinator shall also have the authority vested in the
  On-Scene Coordinator ("OSC") by the National Contingency
  Plan, unless EPA designates a separate individual as OSC, who
  shall then have such authority.
  - D. The absence of the EPA Project Coordinator or OSC from

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the Site shall not be cause for the stoppage of work.

#### VIII. SITE ACCESS

A. To the extent that Apache requires access to land other than land it owns, Apache shall obtain access agreements for Apache, their contractors and agents, EPA, and its contractors and agents, from the present owners or lessees as the need for such access may arise. Such agreements shall provide access for EPA, its contractors and oversight officials, ADEQ and ADWR, their contractors, and Apache or its authorized representatives. In the event that Apache is not able to obtain site access to property owned or controlled by persons or entities other than Apache, Apache shall notify EPA promptly regarding both the lack of, and efforts to obtain, such access.

B. Apache shall permit EPA, and/or its authorized representatives to have access at all times to the Site to monitor any activity conducted pursuant to the RI/FS Work Plan or to conduct such tests or investigations as EPA deems necessary. Nothing in this Order shall be deemed a limit upon EPA's authority under federal law to gain access to the Site.

#### IX. SAMPLING AND DATA/DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

- A. Apache shall provide EPA with all information regarding the presence of hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants at, or released from, the Site, including but not limited to:
- 1. The results and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) documentation of all sampling and/or tests or other technical data generated by Apache or on Apache's behalf with regard to soil, ground water, surface water, or air contamination by

hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at the Site.

(Details and documentation of all quarterly sampling and analysis data collected shall be presented in monthly reports);

- 2. Previous studies or reports;
- 3. Communications between Apache and local, state or other federal authorities; and
- 4. Permits from local, state or federal authorities regarding hazardous substance use or contamination at the Site.
- B. At the request of EPA, Apache shall provide split or duplicate samples to EPA and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Apache as part of the RI/FS Work Plan. Apache shall notify EPA of any planned sample collection activity in the preceding monthly report, but in no event shall the notice be provided less than fourteen days prior to the planned sample collection activity. Notice, other than in the monthly report, shall be provided by Apache either in writing or by telephone to the Project Coordinator or to his/her immediate supervisor.
- C. Apache shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain or custody procedures described in the "EPA NEIC Policies and Procedures Manual," May 1978, revised November 1984, EPA-330/9-78-001-R and "Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," December 1980, QAMS-005/80, and any EPA updates or revisions to these guidances, while conducting all sample collection and analysis activities required by the Order. Apache shall consult with EPA in planning for, and prior to, all sampling and analysis as detailed in the RI/FS Work Plan. To provide quality assurance and maintain

quality control, Apache shall:

- Use a laboratory which has a documented Quality Assurance Program that complies with EPA guidance document QAMS-005/80;
- 2. Ensure that EPA personnel and/or EPA authorized representatives are allowed access to the laboratory and personnel utilized by Apache for analysis;
- 3. Ensure that the laboratory used by Apache for analysis, performs according to a method or methods deemed satisfactory to EPA and submits all protocols to be used for analysis to EPA at least 10 days before beginning analysis;
- D. Apache shall permit EPA and/or its authorized representatives to inspect and copy all records, documents, and other writings, including all sampling and monitoring data, that in any way concern soil, ground water, surface water or air contamination at the Site. Nothing in this Order shall be interpreted as limiting EPA's inspection authority under federal law.
- E. Apache may assert a confidentiality claim, covering part or all of the information requested by this Order pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Analytical data and data covered by Section 104(e)(7)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7)(F), shall not be claimed as confidential by Apache and shall be provided to EPA by Apache. Information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to EPA, it may be made available to the public by EPA without further notice to Apache.

- F. If, at any time during the RI/FS process, Apache becomes aware of the need for additional data beyond the scope of the RI/FS Work Plan that is relevant to the RI/FS process, Apache shall have an affirmative obligation to submit to the EPA Project Coordinator within 20 days a memorandum describing the need for additional data.
- G. All data, factual information, and documents submitted by Apache to EPA pursuant to this Order shall be subject to public inspection.

#### X. RECORD PRESERVATION

Apache shall preserve, during the pendency of this Order and for a minimum of three (3) years after termination of this Order, a central depository of the records and documents required to be prepared under the RI/FS Work Plan. Apache shall acquire and retain copies of all documents that relate to hazardous waste contamination at the Site and are in the possession of its employees, agents, accountants, contractors, or attorneys. After this three-year period, Apache shall notify EPA at least 30 days before the documents are scheduled to be destroyed. If EPA requests that some or all such documents should be saved, Apache shall, provide EPA with the documents or copies of the documents.

#### XI. OTHER CLAIMS

This Order does not release Apache from any claim, cause of action or demand in law or equity.

#### XII. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

Apache shall undertake all actions required by this Order in accordance with the requirements of all applicable local, state,

and federal laws and regulations.

#### XIII. GOVERNMENT NOT LIABLE

The United States Government, and its employees and other representatives shall not be liable for any injuries or damages to persons or property resulting from the acts or omissions of Apache, their employees or other representatives caused by carrying out this Order. For the purposes of this Order, the United States Government is not a party to any contract with Apache.

XIV. COMMUNITY RELATIONS/PUBLIC COMMENT

EPA will implement a Community Relations Program in accordance with Agency policies, guidance documents and public comment policy. Apache may participate in the community relations activities when deemed appropriate by EPA. Upon receipt of each of the following reports or workplan, the EPA shall make documents available to the public for review and comment during a public comment period pursuant to EPA's community relations policy: the Remedial Investigation Report and the Feasibility Study Report. As a result, EPA may modify, or require Apache to modify, the Remedial Investigation Report and the Feasibility Study Report, including a response-to-comments addendum.

#### XV. PARTIES BOUND

This Order shall apply to and be binding upon Apache, their officers, directors, agents, employees, contractors, successors, and assignees. No change in ownership or corporate or partnership status will alter Apache's responsibility under this Order. Apache shall provide a copy of this Order to all contractors,

sub-contractors, laboratories, and consultants retained to conduct any portion of the work performed pursuant to this Order within 14 calendar days of the effective date of this Order or date of such retention. Apache shall provide a copy of this Order to any subsequent owner(s) or successor(s) before ownership rights are transferred.

#### XVI. ENDANGERMENT DURING IMPLEMENTATION

The Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA
Region 9, may determine that acts or circumstances (whether related to or unrelated to this Order) may endanger human health,
welfare or the environment and may order Apache to stop further
implementation of this Order until the endangerment is abated.

#### XVII. NONCOMPLIANCE

- A. A willful violation or failure or refusal to comply with this Order may subject Apache to a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per day in which the violation occurs or failure to comply continues, pursuant to provisions of Section 106(b)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(b)(1). Failure to comply with this Order may also subject Apache to punitive damages of up to three times the total costs incurred by the United States for site response pursuant to Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(c)(3).
- B. EPA may take over the RI/FS at any time if EPA determines that Apache is not taking appropriate action. EPA may order additional actions it deems necessary to protect public health, welfare, or the environment.

#### XVIII. OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER

Apache may request a conference with the Assistant Director,

Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9, or his staff to discuss the provisions of this Order. At any conference held pursuant to Apache's request, Apache may appear in person or by counsel for the purpose of presenting any objections, defenses or contentions which Apache may have regarding this Order. If Apache desires such a conference, Apache must make a request orally to Geoffrey Kors, Assistant Regional Counsel, (415-974-9073) or Michael Wolfram, Remedial Project Manager, (415-974-7955) within 24 hours of receipt of this Order, and confirm the request in writing within three (3) working days.

#### XIX. NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLY

Within four (4) calendar days of receipt of this Order,
Apache shall orally inform the Assistant Director, Hazardous
Waste Management Division (415-974-8910) and Geoffrey Kors, Assistant Regional Counsel, (415-974-9073) of its intent to comply with the terms of this Order. The oral notice shall be confirmed within two (2) days by written notice to the Assistant Director and Geoffrey Kors, Assistant Regional Counsel. Failure to timely notify EPA of Apache's intent to comply will be construed by EPA as a refusal to comply.

#### XX. NOTICE TO THE STATE

EPA has notified the State of Arizona pursuant to the requirements of Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a).

#### XXI. SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is declared by a Court to be invalid and/or unenforceable, all other provisions of this Order shall remain in

full force and effect. Nothing in this Section should be construed to imply that this Order is reviewable by any Court other than as explicitly provided for in CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613 (h), et. seq.

#### XXII. TERMINATION AND SATISFACTION

The provisions of the Order shall be deemed satisfied upon receipt of written notice from EPA that Apache has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of EPA, that all of the terms of this Order, including any additional tasks which EPA has determined to be necessary, have been completed.

#### XXIII. EFFECTIVE DATE AND SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATION

- A. This Order is effective on the date signed by EPA.
- B. No informal advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by EPA regarding reports, plans, specification, schedules, and any other writing submitted by Apache will be construed as relieving Apache of its obligation to obtain such formal approval as may be required by this Order.

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

IT IS SO ORDERED:

20

21

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

22 23

By:

Date: 10/5-/89

24

Director,

Hazardous Waste Management Division

Region 9

Attachments

26

25

27

# Attachment B Apache Powder Company

Since 1980, soil, sludge, and water samples have been collected at and in the vicinity of the Site. Chemicals found in the soil, groundwater and surface water at or near the Site include the following:

Arsenic: In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence of arsenic at 30 mg/l. Arsenic poisoning may result in irritation of the stomach and intestines, including nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. Liver damage and skin abnormalities may also occur;

Cadmium: In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence of cadmium at 20 mg/l. Ingestion of cadmium results in a gastrointestinal type of poisoning, as well as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. Inhalation of dust containing cadmium may cause dryness of the throat, cough, headache, and vomiting;

Chromium: In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence of chromium at 8 mg/l. During August through November 1987, EPA conducted a Preliminary Investigation (PI) at and in the vicinity of the Site, and chromium was detected during the PI at concentrations up to 400 mg/kg in soils and up to 810 mg/kg in pond sludge. Exposure to chromium compounds may result in irritation to the skin and respiratory passages and may lead to ulceration. Ingestion may lead to severe irritation of the gastrointestinal tract, circulatory shock, and renal damage;

Lead: In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence of lead at 60 mg/l. During August through November 1987, EPA conducted a Preliminary Investigation (PI) at and in the vicinity of the Site. On-site, lead was detected during the PI at concentrations of up to 1340 mg/kg in soils, 150 mg/kg in pond sludge, and 53 mg/l in pond water. Inhalation and ingestion of lead may cause anemia; lead poisoning may also result in diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, weakness, headache, and dizziness, and may result in permanent brain damage;

Nitrates: In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence of nitrates at concentrations of 50 mg/l. In July 1982, representatives from the Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization (SEAGO) and the Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) collected soil and water samples at the Site. In Pond 7 water, 13,490 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen was detected, in Pond 1A soils, 9,780 mg/kg nitrate-nitrogen was detected, and in the burn area, 19,560 mg/kg nitrate-nitrogen was detected. In March, June, July, and December 1984, SEAGO sampled off-site supply wells. A sample collected from a domestic well owned by the Carnes family ("Carnes well") exhibited a nitrate-nitrogen level of 470 mg/l. Other off-site wells exhibited nitrate-nitrogen levels of up to 39 mg/l. In 1985, Apache

sampled the water in several on-site ponds, and analyses indicated 4,450 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen in the Dynagel pond, 5,650 mg/l nitrate nitrogen in Pond 7, and 8,990 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen in Pond 6B. Since July 1986, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), formerly named ADHS, has collected surface water samples from the San Pedro River upstream, downstream, and adjacent to the Site. Samples collected from December 1986 through May 1987 from a sampling location located downstream from the Site, indicated the following nitrate-nitrogen concentrations: December 1986--720 mg/l, January 1987--591 mg/l, February 1987--556 mg/l, March 1987--516 mg/l, April 1987--736 mg/l, May 1987--589 mg/l. Samples collected during those times from a sampling point located adjacent to the Site indicated an average nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 2.81 mg/l. In December 1986, ADHS collected groundwater samples from off-site wells near the Site. In a domestic well owned by the Carnes family, a nitratenitrogen concentration of 335 mg/l was detected. During August through November 1987, EPA conducted a Preliminary Investigation (PI) at and in the vicinity of the Site. On-site, nitratenitrogen was detected at concentrations of up to 3420 mg/kg in soils, up to 3465 mg/kg in pond sludge, and up to 471 mg/l in pond water. In off-site groundwater, nitrate nitrogen was detected at levels up to 360 mg/l. A groundwater sample collected upgradient of the Site exhibited 0.82 mg/l of nitrate-In off-site surface water samples collected from San Pedro River locations downstream from Apache, nitrate-nitrogen was detected at levels up to 1099 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen. Surface water samples collected in the San Pedro River upstream of Apache exhibited nitrate-nitrogen concentrations of 0.08 mg/l and 0.14 mg/l. The toxicity of nitrate in humans is due to the reduction of nitrate to nitrite by bacteria. Methemoglobinemia occurs when nitrite reacts with hemoglobin to form methoglobin, which will not transport oxygen to the tissues, and can thus lead to as-Infants, small children, and pregnant women are most susceptible to methemoglobinemia. In June, 1987, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry determined that potable water from private wells in the area was contaminated with nitrates and that it represented an imminent and substantial health concern, especially to infants.

Zinc: In July 1980, EPA collected liquid samples from Pond 2A, which indicated the presence zinc at 1.9 mg/l. During August through November 1987, EPA conducted a Preliminary Investigation (PI) at and in the vicinity of the Site. On-site, zinc was detected at concentrations of up to 16,000 mg/kg in soils, 41,600 mg/kg in pond sludge, and 610 mg/l in pond water. Inhalation of zinc fumes may result in weakness, chills, fever, nausea, and vomiting.

#### Attachment C

#### DETERMINATION OF IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT

SITE: Apache Powder, St. David, Arizona.

#### DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:

My determination is based on Environmental Protection Ageny (EPA) records including those regarding the events, data and reports described below:

- o EPA's July 1980 sampling data;
- o Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization and the Arizona Department of Health Services Sampling data of July 1982;
  - o Apache Powder Company, 1985 sampling data;
- o Environmental Department of Environmental Quality, 1986 sampling data;
- o Environmental Protection Agency Preliminary Investigation Report, June 1988.
- o Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), U.S. Public Health Service, September 1, 1988, Preliminary Health Assessment for Apache Powder, St. David Arizona.

#### DETERMINATION:

Section 106(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Ammendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, (CERCLA), provides that when the President of the United States finds that there may be an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance from a facility to the environment, he may issue such Orders as may be necessary to protect public health, welfare or the environment.

The findings of the EPA records and documents described above, conclusively demonstrate that hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants have been released into the environment on-site, and that the potential release or threat of release of hazardous sustances to the environment exists.

Pursuant to the CERCLA Section 106 authority delegated to me by the President, through the EPA Regional Administrator, I determine that the records and documents reviewed, especially the Preliminary Investigation Report, and the ATSDR Preliminary Health Assessment, demonstrate that an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health, welfare, or the environment may exist because of the actual and threatened release of hazardous substances at the Apache Powder Site.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 5th day of October, 1989.

Jeff Zelikson, Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9